## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/645,257	SU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NITTAYA JUNTIMA	2416		

	NITTAYA JUNTIMA	2416			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abarit, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Nation of Appeal was filed on Aprint in some	lianaa with 27 CED 44 27 must ha	filed within two month	a af tha data af		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re		ne issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c					
NOTE: <u>The deletion and addition of limitations in claims 1, 9, and 17 raise new issues</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cand					
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [    how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov    The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:    Claim(s) allowed:    Claim(s) objected to:    Claim(s) rejected:    Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/FIRMIN BACKER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416					